



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/528,018	05/12/2005	Markus Warsta	59643.00588	9317
32294 7590 12/09/2008 SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY L.L.P. 8000 TOWERS CRESCENT DRIVE 14TH FLOOR VIENNA, VA 22182-6212				
EXAMINER DANIEL JR, WILLIE J				
ART UNIT 2617		PAPER NUMBER		
MAIL DATE 12/09/2008		DELIVERY MODE PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

**Advisory Action
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief**

Application No. 10/528,018	Applicant(s) WARSTA ET AL.
Examiner WILLIE J. DANIEL JR	Art Unit 2617

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 17 November 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

1. ☒ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

- a) ☐ The period for reply expires _____ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) ☒ The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

NOTICE OF APPEAL

2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

AMENDMENTS

3. ☒ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
(c) ☒ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).

4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____.
6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
7. ☒ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☒ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed: NONE.
Claim(s) objected to: NONE.
Claim(s) rejected: 1-8,10-13,15 and 17-41.
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
9. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. ☒ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
See Continuation Sheet.
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). _____.
13. ☒ Other: See Continuation Sheet.

/Charles N. Appiah/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

1. Applicant's arguments filed 17 November 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The Examiner respectfully disagrees with applicant's arguments as the applied reference(s) provide more than adequate support and to further clarify (see the above claims for relevant).
2. Regarding applicant's argument on pg. 14, 3rd par. "...Action is improper...", the Examiner respectfully disagrees. Applicant amended the claims and/or added new claims as indicated in item 6 of the office action mailed on 16 October 2008. Therefore, a new grounds of rejection as applied in the Final action is valid and the Final action is hereby maintained.
3. Regarding applicant's argument of claim 1 on pg. 20, 3rd par., "...update said routing information for at least one of said inactive subscribers to route signaling to an active subscriber register when said at least one said inactive subscribers become active...", the Examiner respectfully disagrees. Applicant has failed to appreciate the teachings of well-known prior art Boivin that clearly discloses the claimed feature(s) as would be clearly recognized by one of ordinary skill in the art. As a note, applicant did not argue the other features in which the Examiner interprets that applicant must agree that the feature is met by the applied reference. In particular, Boivin discloses the language as related to the claimed feature(s) updating said routing information associated with the subscriber at the routing register to route subsequent signaling associated with the subscriber to an active subscriber register (see pg. 3, [0028, 0030]; pg. 2, [0018-0020]; Fig. 3 'ref. 312'), where the system updates the routing information for incoming and outgoing communication, which after the receipt of said message at the inactive subscriber register is provisioned with subscriber data required by the active subscriber register to service said subscriber (see pg. 3, [0028, 0030]; pg. 2, [0019-0020]; Fig. 3 'ref. 312'), where the system determines that the number is inactive and activates the telephone number. Therefore, as addressed above, the applied reference more than adequately meets the claim limitations.
4. Regarding applicant's argument of claim 41 on pg. 20, 4th par., "...provisioning an active subscriber register of the communication network with subscriber data associated with the inactive subscriber to be activated based on the received message...", the Examiner respectfully disagrees. Applicant has failed to appreciate the teachings of well-known prior art Boivin that clearly discloses the claimed feature(s) as would be clearly recognized by one of ordinary skill in the art. As a note, applicant did not argue the other features in which the Examiner interprets that applicant must agree that the feature is met by the applied reference. In particular, Boivin discloses the language as related to the claimed feature(s) provisioning an active subscriber register of the communication network with subscriber data associated with the inactive subscriber to be activated based on the received message (see pg. 3, [0028, 0030]; pg. 2, [0019-0020]), where the system updates the routing information for incoming and outgoing communication and determines that the number is inactive and activates the telephone number. Therefore, as addressed above, the applied reference more than adequately meets the claim limitations.
5. Regarding applicant's argument(s) of claims 2-8, 10-13, 15, and 17-40, the claims are addressed for the same reasons as set forth above and as applied in each claim rejection of the Final Action.

Continuation of 13. Other:

1. The applicant's amendment does not simplify the application for appeal since the newly added limitation "...unstructured supplementary service data..." of claims 15, 28, and 40 is not found in the specification.